


CHINESE INVESTMENTS IN INDIA



AMIT BHANDARI
Fellow, Energy & Environment Studies

BLAISE FERNANDES

AASHNA AGARWAL

GATEWAY HOUSE REPORT NO. 3 | FEBRUARY 2020

CHINESE INVESTMENTS IN INDIA

Amit Bhandari, Fellow, Energy & Environment Studies Programme, **Blaise Fernandes**, Board Member, Gateway House and President & CEO, The Indian Music Industry & **Aashna Agarwal**, Former Researcher

Report No. 3, Map No. 10 | February 2020



Disclaimer:

While every effort has been made to ensure that data is accurate and reliable, these maps are conceptual and in no way claim to reflect geopolitical boundaries that may be disputed. Gateway House is not liable for any loss or damage whatsoever arising out of, or in connection with the use of, or reliance on any of the information from these maps.

*Published by Gateway House: Indian Council on Global Relations
3rd floor, Cecil Court, M.K.Bhushan Marg,
Next to Regal Cinema, Colaba, Mumbai 400 039
T: +91 22 22023371 E: info@gatewayhouse.in W: www.gatewayhouse.in*

Gateway House: Indian Council on Global Relations is a foreign policy think tank in Mumbai, India, established to engage India's leading corporations and individuals in debate and scholarship on India's foreign policy and the nation's role in global affairs. Gateway House is independent, non-partisan and membership-based.

*Editors: Manjeet Kripalani & Nandini Bhaskaran
Cover Design & Map Visualisation: Debarpan Das
Layout: Debarpan Das*

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise), without prior written permission of the publisher.

© Copyright 2020, Gateway House: Indian Council on Global Relations.

Methodology

Our preliminary research indicated that the focus of Chinese investments in India is in the start-up space. Primary research involved interviews with experts in venture capital and private equity, including fund managers, investors, and lawyers. This helped us understand the nature of the investments and the issues that could arise.

Secondary research involved desk research to create lists of venture capital and private equity deals and their value.

Sources

- Regulatory filings made to the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, and stock exchanges (e.g. Hong Kong and National Stock Exchange, India)
- The Government of India's Foreign Investment Facilitation Portal and reports from the Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion
- Media reports – triangulation of information, using multiple news sources
- Official company press releases

Parameters

- Value of equity investment
- Target company
- Location of investment
- Nature of business
- Sector of investment
- Nature of investment:
 - 1) start-up investments;
 - 2) financial investment (non-controlling investment in a listed company);
 - 3) other direct investment

Index

1. India in the virtual Belt and Road.....06
2. China's strategic tech depth in India.....08
3. Chinese app enticements in India.....13

Challenges

Information that is easily available for publicly listed companies is difficult to access for start-ups and unlisted companies in both India and China. Details of investors in these companies are not openly available in the public domain. Public announcements about fund-raising reveal only the full investment made by a consortium of investors, not the amounts put in by each investor.

Chinese funds and companies often route their investments in India through offices located in Singapore, Hong Kong, Mauritius etc.: for example, Alibaba's investment in Paytm was by Alibaba Singapore Holdings Pvt. Ltd. These don't get recorded in India's government data as Chinese investments. Thus, official FDI inflows from China to India do not present the full picture of Chinese investments in India.

In several cases, the investment in India has not been made in the name of the Chinese entity/investor, and is, therefore, difficult to trace.

In the absence of publicly disclosed information, the authors triangulate the data with information from various other primary and limited secondary sources to ensure the greatest possible reliability and authenticity.

Areas of Further Study

Given the vibrant start-up ecosystem in India, new companies will keep emerging and old companies may shut down or be acquired. A database of this has begun to be compiled and will require regular updates.

More research is needed to understand and detail the shareholding structure and investors in Indian start-ups, especially the unicorns.

We have identified several investments in apps available in regional languages. The actual impact of these investments on the ground needs to be studied further.

1. India in the virtual Belt and Road *by Amit Bhandari & Aashna Agarwal*

China quietly has created a significant place for itself in India in the last five years – in the technology domain. Unable to persuade India to sign on to its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), China has entered the Indian market through venture investments in start-ups and penetrated the online ecosystem with its popular smartphones and their applications (apps).

Chinese tech investors have put an estimated \$4 billion into Indian start-ups. Such is their success that over the five years ending March 2020, 18 of India's 30 unicorns are now Chinese-funded. TikTok, the video app, has 200 million subscribers and has overtaken YouTube in India. Alibaba, Tencent and ByteDance rival the U.S. penetration of Facebook, Amazon and Google in India. Chinese smartphones like Oppo and Xiaomi lead the Indian market with an estimated 72%¹ share, leaving Samsung and Apple behind.

There are three reasons for China's tech depth in India. First, there are no major Indian venture investors for Indian start-ups. China has taken early advantage of this gap. Alibaba's 2015 investment in 40% of Paytm, a digital payments platform, paid off barely a year later when in November 2016, the government of India demonetised its large currency notes and simultaneously promoted a move to a cashless economy. Paytm benefitted from Alibaba's superior fintech experience, which it applied to India seamlessly, making it a dominant player.

Second, China provides the patient capital needed to support the Indian start-ups, which like any other, are loss-making. The trade-off for market share is worthwhile. Third, for China, the huge Indian market has both retail and strategic value. Therefore, companies like Alibaba and Tencent have different considerations and horizons for their investments. In contrast, Western venture money is mostly through funds like Sequoia and SoftBank.

China has seen another early opportunity in India – the potential shift to electric mobility, where China has expertise. India is the world's fifth-largest auto market; the sector is the country's most robust and globalised export and it is a bellwether for the economy. China's BYD has been pushing its electric buses in India, with limited success. In traditional autos, which is 99% of the market, China is using the recognisable, but distressed, global auto brands like Volvo and MG, which it acquired to enter the Indian market. So far, Chinese automakers have invested \$575 million in India. The competition in India is fierce, and it's with Indian and Asian automakers like Suzuki, Hyundai and Toyota, even as U.S. automakers withdraw.


The Belt and Road Initiative carries with it Chinese products and standards, both virtual and physical. India may have sidestepped the physical corridor, but has unwittingly signed up for the virtual corridor.

CHINESE INVESTMENTS IN INDIA

Amit Bhandari, Fellow,
Energy & Environment Studies
Aashna Agarwal, Researcher


NCR

- ▲ 13
- 5
- 1
- 🛒 7
- ⚙️ 3
- 🔌 1
- 🎓 6
- 🏠 2
- 🏢 1
- 📺 1
- 📱 5
- 🛒 1
- 📺 4
- 📱 1
- 📶 2
- 📶 1
- 📶 1
- 📶 5
- 📶 7
- ★ 3



Ahmedabad

- ▲ 1
- 2
- 1
- 🛒 1
- ⚙️ 1
- 🔌 2
- 📶 1
- 📱 1
- 📱 1



Kolkata

- 2

Hyderabad

- ▲ 1
- 2
- 📶 1
- 📱 1

Pune

- ▲ 1
- 1
- 1
- 🛒 1
- 🚗 1
- 🏠 2
- 📱 1
- 📱 1

Vijayawada

- 1
- 📱 1

Mumbai

- ▲ 4
- 2
- 1
- 🛒 4
- ⚙️ 1
- 🔌 1
- 🎓 5
- 🏠 1
- 🏢 4
- 📶 1
- 📶 1
- 📶 1
- ★ 2
- 📶 1
- 📶 1

Bangalore

- ▲ 11
- 1
- 1
- 🛒 6
- 🚗 4
- ⚙️ 6
- 🏠 2
- 🏢 2
- 📶 1
- 📱 2
- 📱 1
- 📶 4
- 📶 5

Chennai

- ▲ 1
- 1
- 1
- 🛒 1
- 🚗 1
- 🏠 1
- 📱 1
- 📱 1

START-UP INVESTMENTS

- 🛒 E-commerce
- ⚙️ Fintech
- 🎓 Education
- 📺 Media, Social
- 📺 Media & Entmnt.
- 📶 Logistics
- 🔍 Search Engine
- 📶 Aggregator
- 📶 Others
- 🦄 Unicorn

OTHER DIRECT INVESTMENTS

- 🚗 Auto & Auto Ancillary
- 🔑 Consumer Durables
- 🏠 Capital Goods
- 📱 Electronics
- 📦 FMCG
- 🏠 Others
- 🏠 Construction
- 💊 Pharmaceuticals

PORTFOLIO INVESTMENTS

- 🏠 Construction
- 🏠 Healthcare
- ⚡ Power
- 🛒 E-commerce
- 🏠 Banking
- 📺 Media, Social Media & Entmnt.

★ TOP 5 CHINESE APPS ON GOOGLE PLAY STORE

- TIKTOK • LIKE • HELO
- SHAREIT • UC BROWSER

2. China's strategic tech depth in India *by Amit Bhandari & Aashna Agarwal*

In India, China's tech giant companies and venture capital funds have become the primary vehicle for investments in the country – largely in tech start-ups. This is different from other emerging markets where Chinese investments are mostly in physical infrastructure. Chinese FDI into India is small at \$6.2 billion, but its impact is already outsized, given the increasing penetration of tech in India

China's economic footprint in India seems negligible compared to its presence in other emerging markets, especially in South Asian countries such as Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Myanmar and Bangladesh. Whereas investments in these countries is mostly in physical infrastructure, Chinese funding to Indian tech start-ups is making an impact disproportionate to its value, given the deepening penetration of technology across sectors in India. TikTok, owned by ByteDance, is already one of the most popular apps in India, overtaking YouTube; Xiaomi handsets are bigger than Samsung smartphones; Huawei routers are widely used.

These are investments made by nearly two dozen Chinese tech companies and funds, led by giants like Alibaba, ByteDance and Tencent which have funded 92 Indian start-ups, including unicorns such as Paytm, Byju's, Oyo and Ola. (See Table 2)

Over the course of one year, Gateway House has conducted a deep study of Chinese investments in India as part of a larger research project on Chinese investments in India's neighbourhood. The findings are remarkable: 18 of the 30 Indian unicorns have a Chinese investor. This means that China is embedded in Indian society, the economy, and the technology ecosystem that influences it. Unlike a port or a railway line, these are invisible assets in small sizes – rarely over \$100 million – and made by the private sector, which doesn't cause immediate alarm.

All this adds up to just 1.5% of the total official Chinese (including Hong Kong) FDI into India.² This doesn't cover investments made by funds based out of Singapore and elsewhere, where the ultimate owner is Chinese, so the actual investment in India will be higher.

The single largest Chinese investment in India is the \$1.1 billion acquisition of Gland Pharma by Fosun in 2018. This accounts for 17.7% of all Chinese FDI into India, but it is unique. Gateway House identified just five other investments (FDI) by Chinese companies which exceed \$100 million. This includes the \$300-million investment by MG Motors.

China is most active in India in the start-up space. Gateway House has identified over 75 companies, with Chinese investors concentrated in e-commerce, fintech, media/social media, aggregation services and logistics. A majority – more than half – of India's 30 Indian unicorns (start-ups with valuation of over \$1 billion) have a Chinese investor. (See Table 1)

Table 1: Indian Unicorns with Chinese Investors

NO.	INDIAN COMPANY	BRAND NAME	CHINESE INVESTOR	ESTIMATED INVESTMENT (\$ million)	OTHER INVESTORS
1.	Innovative Retail Concepts Pvt. Ltd.	BigBasket	Alibaba Group, TR Capital	>250	Sands Capital, Mirae Asset, Helion Venture Partners, Bessemer Venture Partners
2.	Think and Learn Pvt. Ltd.	Byju's	Tencent Holdings	>50	Sequoia Capital, Nasper Ventures, Lightspeed Venture Partners, Canadian Pension Plan Investment Board (CPPIB)
3.	Delhivery Pvt. Ltd.	Delhivery	Fosun	>25	Softbank Group, The Carlyle Group, Tiger Global Management
4.	Sporta Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Dream 11	Steadview Capital, Tencent Holdings	>150	
5.	Walmart	Flipkart	Steadview Capital, Tencent Holdings	>300	Microsoft, eBay, Tiger Global Management
6.	Hike Messenger Ltd.	Hike	Tencent Holdings, Foxconn	>150	Softbank Group, Tiger Global Management, Matt Mullenweg (developer of WordPress)
7.	MakeMyTrip (India) Pvt. Ltd.	MakeMyTrip	Ctrip	NA	Softbank Group, Tiger Global Management, Matt Mullenweg (developer of WordPress)

NO.	INDIAN COMPANY	BRAND NAME	CHINESE INVESTOR	ESTIMATED INVESTMENT (\$ million)	OTHER INVESTORS
8.	ANI Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Ola	Tencent Holdings, Steadview Capital, Sailing Capital and China, Eternal Yield International Ltd, China-Eurasian Economic Co-operation Fund	>500	Softbank Group, Sequoia Capital, Tiger Global Management, Matrix Partners, Falcon Edge Capital
9.	Oravel Stays Pvt. Ltd.	Oyo	Didi Chuxing, China Lodging Group	>100	Softbank Group, Lightspeed Venture Partners, Sequoia Capital, Greenoaks Capital, Airbnb
10.	Paytm E-Commerce Pvt. Ltd.	Paytm Mall	Alibaba Group	>150	Softbank Group
11.	One97 Communications Ltd.	Paytm.com	Alibaba Group (Alipay Singapore Holding Pvt. Ltd.), SAIF Partners	>400	Softbank Group
12.	ETechAces Marketing & Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	PolicyBazaar	Steadview Capital	NA	Softbank Group, Inventus Capital Partners, Tiger Global Management
13.	Quikr India Pvt. Ltd.	Quikr	Steadview Capital	NA	Tiger Global Management, Omidyar Network, Norwest Venture Partners, Nokia Growth Partners
14.	Rivigo Services Pvt. Ltd.	Rivigo	SAIF Partners	>25	Warburg Pincus, KB Global

NO.	INDIAN COMPANY	BRAND NAME	CHINESE INVESTOR	ESTIMATED INVESTMENT (\$ million)	OTHER INVESTORS
15.	Jasper Infotech Pvt. Ltd.	Snapdeal	Alibaba Group, FIH Mobile Ltd (subsidiary of Foxconn Technology Group)	>700	BlackRock, Softbank Group, eBay
16.	Bundl Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	Swiggy	Meituan Dianping, Hillhouse Capital, Tencent Holdings, SAIF Partners	>500	Wellington Management, Accel Partners, Coatue Management, Norwest Venture Partners
17.	Hiveloop Logistics Pvt. Ltd.	Udaan	Tencent Holdings	>100	
18.	Zomato Media Pvt. Ltd.	Zomato	Alibaba Group (Alipay Singapore Holding Pvt. Ltd. & Ant Financial Services Group), Shunwei Capital	>200	Sequoia Capital, Glade Brook Capital Partners

Compiled by Gateway House

Why is China seeing such success in Indian tech? It's because Indian start-ups rely disproportionately on overseas venture capital (VC) funding – all start-ups worth over \$1 billion are foreign-funded. Some like Flipkart and Paytm have been acquired outright. India still does not have a Sequoia or Google of its own. Reliance Industries, through Jio, is trying to replicate Alibaba's successful model in India.

Most Indian VC financiers are wealthy individuals/family offices – and cannot make the \$100-million commitments needed to finance start-ups through their early losses. For instance, Paytm incurred a loss of Rs 3,690 crore in FY19³ while Flipkart lost Rs 3,837 crore over the year.⁴ That leaves Western and Chinese investors as the dominant players in the Indian start-up space. Global giants like Sequoia (U.S.), Softbank (Japan) and Naspers (South Africa) back virtually every large Indian start-up. They are also all big investors in China's new-economy companies, have experience of that intensely competitive market and growth, and seek to repeat their success in India.

Chinese investors in Indian start-ups can be divided into two categories:

1. dedicated VC funds, such as CDH Investments, Hillhouse Capital, SAIF Partners and Ward Ferry, mostly based in Hong Kong. They are akin to professional global investors, such as Sequoia or Softbank, and look for financial returns;
2. tech companies, such as Alibaba, Tencent and Xiaomi (or their various arms), which want a serious presence in the Indian market, just as Walmart (via Flipkart) and Amazon do.

These investments bring up three concerns for India: data security, propaganda, and platform control.

Data security

Chinese companies such as Alibaba and Tencent have their own ecosystems, which include online stores, payment gateways, messaging services, etc. An investment by a Chinese firm can pull the Indian company into this ecosystem, which may mean loss of control over data.

Typically, in investments by a consortium of venture capitalists, one of the partners takes the lead in advising the start-up. If Alibaba/Tencent is playing this role, it can encourage the start-up to use pre-existing Chinese solutions for its tech requirements – again leading to loss of control over data.

If this process is followed across a range of companies – a taxi service, a hotel aggregator, online retail outlets, a payment provider – it permits an intrusive, comprehensive profile of an individual and his/her habits. Grindr is an example. (See box)

Grindr: a security risk?

Grindr, a hook-up app for the LGBT community, is an example of how data can be misused. Grindr was acquired in 2016 by Chinese gaming company, Kunlun. During the Cold War, homosexual blackmail was used by Stasi and the KGB to target vulnerable officials in the West. There is still considerable stigma attached to homosexuality in India – can a government official be blackmailed with such information? The U.S. government has flagged off ownership of Grindr as a national security risk, and ordered the Chinese owner to divest a majority stake by 2020.⁵

Western companies have similar access to private data too – but there is national and global oversight and more transparency in their systems.

Propaganda/influence/censorship

The Chinese government keeps a tight control over its media at home. Most of the Western social media apps (Facebook, Twitter) and many of the leading news outlets are banned in China. Large multinational corporations are unwilling to lose the Chinese market and wary of offending the Chinese government. This has given China a veto over free speech in many Western countries, seen recently in the high-profile case of the National Basketball Association (U.S.)⁶ speaking out about the protests in Hong Kong.

Investments in Indian social and other media (including those in regional languages) as well as start-ups could lead to a subtle push toward the Chinese narrative on bilateral issues and disputes with India, a shift to a more favourable depiction of China and suppression of criticism. For instance, TikTok censors topics that are sensitive to the Chinese government.⁷ This kind of influencing by a foreign totalitarian government is detrimental to an open and free society.

Platform control

The Internet is split into two major camps: the 'traditional' open internet dominated by Western companies, Facebook, Amazon, Netflix and Google (FANGS) and the 'closed' Chinese internet – almost an intranet – which restricts outsiders and is closely monitored and controlled by the state. Companies like Alibaba and Tencent are enablers and beneficiaries of this system. By restricting access to foreign players, China was able to create its own champions – which now rival the West's. If Alibaba, Tencent and other Chinese tech majors replicate their internet ecosystems in India, this can create a systemic risk. An ecosystem such as this controls access to end-users; it means other companies (retailers, financing firms and media) will have to follow the standards/technologies prescribed to them. Alibaba/Tencent will be in a position like Google – they can decide which firm will succeed or fail by controlling user access, using their own technologies. Imagine: the Indian economy could use Chinese tech for critical applications.

Table 2: Investments by mainland China-based tech companies in Indian start-ups

ALIBABA GROUP	SECTORS
BigBasket , Dailyhunt, Healofy, Paytm Mall, Paytm.com , TicketNew, Vidooly, Xpressbees, Rapido, Snapdeal, Zomato	E-commerce, Search Engine, Media, Social Media & Entertainment, Logistics, Fintech, Aggregator, Others
TENCENT	SECTORS
Byju's, Ola , Doubtnut, Dream 11, Flipkart , Niyo, Gaana, Hike , Khatabook, MXPlayer, Mygate, Pine labs, Pocket FM, Practo, Swiggy, Udaan	Education, Logistics, Gaming, E-commerce, Media, Social Media & Entertainment, Fintech, Aggregator, Others
XIAOMI (Shunwei Capital)	SECTORS
City Mall, Hungama Digital Media Entertainment Pvt. Ltd., Marsplay Internet, Oye! Rickshaw, Rapido, Sharechat, ZestMoney	E-commerce, Fintech, Aggregator, Media, Social Media & Entertainment
<i>Highlighted names are unicorns (worth over \$1billion)</i>	
<i>Source: Gateway House</i>	

India has not participated in China's Belt and Road Initiative and has yet to take a final decision on allowing Huawei into India's 5G telecom. But through these investments in start-ups, India may find its economy tied ever closer to China's.

3. Chinese app enticements in India *by Blaise Fernandes*

Chinese investments in India's soft power sectors, such as smartphones and apps, glitter like a diamond necklace around India. Here is anecdotal evidence of their reach and capacity to harvest more data than necessary, with recommendations for their regulation

While the BJP government focuses on governing border states through a democratic process on its own or through coalitions, the Chinese are blanketing the whole of India, including the border states, through their investments in multiple projects. It will create a diamond necklace around India that is so attractive and insidious that it will make China's potent Indian Ocean String-of-Pearls strategy seem less threatening.

The last decade has seen heavy investments from Chinese companies into India, over \$5 billion in 2018. They are in myriad sectors, such as consumer goods, especially electronics, logistics, retail – that is, normal FDI, mostly. Alarming are the investments by China's powerful BAT companies (Baidu, Alibaba and Tencent) in soft power projects in India – Artificial Intelligence, the Internet of Things and fintech.⁸ That's because the People's Liberation Army of China and the Communist Party of China have a symbiotic relationship with China's BAT, the makers of strategic domestic and overseas investments.

These investments in India need to be viewed with caution, considering the increased penetration of smartphones and apps, like TikTok, especially in the country's Tier-II and Tier-III cities, such as Guwahati and Raipur. The potential to influence Indian minds is massive. By 2024, India's smartphone users are expected to double to 1.25 billion from 610 million in 2018.⁹ Chinese smartphone manufacturers in India already have a 66% share of the smartphone market as of the first quarter of 2019.¹⁰ That's hardware.

As for software – and soft power – the increase in smartphones in India has been accompanied by the rise in the use of smartphone apps. According to App Annie's The State of Mobile in 2019 report, India saw a 165% increase in app store downloads between 2016 and 2018.¹¹ A full 50% of top app downloads (combined iOS and Google Play Downloads) in India in 2018 were those with Chinese investments, such as UC Browser, SHAREit, TikTok, and Vigo Video, among others.¹² Such Chinese apps harvest more than normal amounts of data, as compared to other social media apps, thereby posing security concerns for India. As more young Indians turn into first-time smartphone users, their affordability and data packages will entice them into making frequent use of online services, unaware of the security risks to their data while using the extremely popular Chinese apps.

China in India's digital sector

China's strategic investments in data-oriented services in India raise concerns, making it critical for India's security agencies to pay attention to these soft power projects in India. They are prevalent at various levels, particularly in the digital sector in India. The following offer a few examples:

Apps: A substantial 50% of top app downloads (combined iOS and Google Play Downloads) in India in 2018 included apps with Chinese investments, such as Universal Control Browser (UC Browser), SHAREit, TikTok, Vigo Video, etc.¹³ These apps are owned respectively by the Singapore and China mobile-based internet company, Alibaba Group; SHAREit Technologies Co Ltd and ByteDance (TikTok and Vigo Video), which are all multi-billion-dollar global players, alleged to have deep ties with the Chinese government.

Browsers: UC Browser, owned by Alibaba, has penetrated the Indian market through a series of significant investments, including via Paytm and its parent company; One97 Communications Limited; and Snapdeal, owned by Jasper Infotech Pvt. Ltd.¹⁴ As of October 2019, UC Browser has a sizeable market share of 17.09% in the mobile browser market space in India¹⁵ and also leads the mobile phone segment in India with 21.38% market share.¹⁶ Its competitor is Chrome, with a 69.35% market share.¹⁷ UC Browser has recently made a strategic shift from a tool-based product to a content player in India, with dedicated channels, hosting short-form content, including videos, with segments on sports, technology, news, fashion, etc.¹⁸

Streaming services: In 2018, China's internet behemoth, Tencent, made an investment of \$115 million in India-based music streaming service, Gaana, founded in 2010 by Times Media/Times Internet.¹⁹ Indian fintech unicorn Paytm, in which Alibaba invested \$575 million²⁰ and which runs an e-commerce marketplace, Paytm Mall, in India, and Tencent invested \$110 million in the OTT platform MX Player, a video player developed by South Korea-based company, J2 Interactive, which was acquired by Times Internet for \$144 million in 2018.²¹

In April 2016, Chinese smartphone maker, Xiaomi Inc, invested \$25 million in Indian digital media firm, Hungama Digital Media Entertainment.²²

Access to data

India is one of the largest and fastest-growing markets for digital consumers, with 560 million internet subscribers in 2018: this is second only to China. As with the global controversy generated by China's 5G investments, Chinese investment in India's digital sector also has data security implications in the following ways:

Data: Chinese apps represent a challenge to the user's data security as they require vast amounts of personal data; this is usually a bare minimum to provide users access to their interface.

A study conducted by Arrka Consulting in India²³ shows that Chinese apps in India ask for 45% more permissions than the number of permissions requested by the top 50 global apps. At least six of the 10 most popular Chinese apps, including Helo and SHAREit, as well as browsers such as UC Browser, ask users to provide unnecessary access to camera and microphones on their smartphones. Such apps collect large amounts of personal data from users such as location, profession, friend lists, friends' interests, cellphone number and photo interest as a bare minimum, thereby stoking privacy concerns in app users. Deactivation of a user's account does not result in data being returned to the user or it being deleted from the app's server. In fact, these details are often shared with third parties.

Malware: Chinese apps have always raised suspicions about cyber espionage attempts and security risks in India. The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology reportedly sent notices to TikTok, Bytedance and Helo apps, seeking a response to its data privacy concerns about these apps being used to commit unlawful activities, such as storing users' data and creating a hub for anti-national activities, such as communal disharmony.²⁴ In 2017, out of 42 mobile applications, UC Browser, SHAREit, UC News, and others listed by the Ministry of Home Affairs,²⁵ are claimed to have the potential to carry out a cyber attack against the country.

Search services: UC Browser is the second most utilised browser in India after Chrome, with an estimated market share of 17.09%²⁶ and a user base of more than 300 million. According to a 2015 report by the University of Toronto, Alibaba's UC Browser has "several major privacy and security vulnerabilities that would seriously expose users of UC Browser to surveillance and other privacy violations".

TikTok's parent company, ByteDance, has recently launched a new search portal, Toutiao Search, showing results from the web as well as its own platforms.²⁷ Given the digital boom in India and the estimated 300 million monthly active users in India that ByteDance has across TikTok, Vigo Video and Helo,²⁸ ByteDance may potentially venture into the search business in India as well.

India's regulatory authorities need to frame policies with an understanding of the immediate security, technological and geoeconomic aspects to China's expansion. Given China's established practices of cyber espionage and hacking, it is not unreal to envision a scenario in 2022, where the market share of smartphones of the top three Chinese companies in India is 48.54%,²⁹ with increasing penetration and cheap data having reached the sensitive border states of India.

Some policy recommendations

A centralised FDI screening mechanism for the IT-BPO industry: The investment screening mechanism, recently introduced³⁰ in EU, the EU Foreign Direct Investment Screening Regulation (FDIR), is a non-binding cooperation and oversight system which encourages sharing information across member states on the potential of certain investments to affect national security and interests. It empowers the European Commission to weigh in on deals that affect multiple member states or the EU as a whole.³¹

The passing of this new EU measure in just 18 months is indicative of heightened concerns over the terms of China's economic expansion because it makes provisions for dominant characteristics of its investment strategy: a focus on technology and infrastructure sectors, state-linked and funded entities and state-led outward projects. The EU's new screening mechanism also targets a specific aspect of some Chinese deals: many are executed via third parties in other states to conceal the Chinese source of ownership and funding. The EU measure explicitly prevents such bypassing of national screening by investigating deals within the EU that are associated with Chinese firms.³²

Adopting a similar screening mechanism for the IT-BPO industry in India will protect citizens' sensitive personal information from being shared through apps, browsers, search services and other critical technology and infrastructure in India, keeping in mind direct and indirect Chinese investments in India.

Inter-agency committee to review foreign investments involving the collection of sensitive personal data:

India can devise a body akin to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS). It can consist of members from the Ministry of Home Affairs, Department of Telecommunications, Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology and the National Security Council of India, to review foreign investments in India which calls for the collection of sensitive personal information. This can help tackle security threats in India.

Data localisation policy: A data localisation policy for regulating access to data, mandating data storage requirements and controlling cross-border data flows, needs to be put in place. Companies should be required to set up data centres in India to minimise the need for storing sensitive data on foreign servers.

An organisation collecting sensitive personal data must state: the purpose, the persons or organisations who will be privy to it, the security safeguards and processes it has in place in relation to such information, the processes available to data subjects to access and correct such information, and the contact details of the privacy officers and SRO ombudsmen for filing complaints. Data localisation measures will be effective in immediately taking down content which can foment sectarian trouble across India or the anti-India rhetoric in border states, such as Jammu & Kashmir, Rajasthan, Punjab, Gujarat, U.P., Uttarakhand and the North East.

Such a policy will be an important step in handling security concerns in India caused by cyber espionage.

Accountability in trans-border data flows: There are legal best practices to be learned from other countries that are cognisant of China's strategy. Australia needs accountability in cross-border data flows as well as the monitoring of the flow of sensitive personal data across borders. The 13 Australian Privacy Principles, released by the Australian government, are designed to effectively protect the collection, holding use, and disclosure of all personal information.³³ Australian entities, which may be sending data abroad, remain liable by Australian law for actions taken by the foreign handler with regard to the data. Compliance with this principle usually takes the form of a contract between the Australian entity and the foreign data handler, the latter undertaking to comply with the privacy principles, despite it not being a private entity.³⁴

It's time for India to broaden the government's powers to block deals that threaten national integration, sectarian harmony, border security, and cyber security and effectively deal with the looming challenge posed by Chinese tech companies. India has to protect its technology ecosystem. Successful implementation and enforcement of such policies will make the difference between vulnerability and security.

References

1. Jain, Anishka, 'India Surpassed the USA to Become the Second Largest Smartphone Market in the World Reaching 158 Million Shipments in 2019', Counterpoint, 24 January 2020, <<https://www.counterpointresearch.com/india-surpassed-usa-become-second-largest-smartphone-market-world-reaching-158-million-shipments-2019/>>
2. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade, "Quarterly Fact Sheet, Fact Sheet on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) From April, 2000 to June, 2019", Ministry of Commerce and Industry, 2019, <https://dipp.gov.in/sites/default/files/FDI_Factsheet_4September2019.pdf>
3. Bhakta, Pratik, "At Rs 3,960 crore, losses mount 165% for Paytm parent One97", Economic Times, 10 September 2019, <<https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/small-biz/startups/newsbuzz/losses-for-paytm-jump-165-in-fy19/articleshow/71054159.cms>>
4. PTI, "Flipkart logs loss of Rs 3,837 crore in 2018-19", Economic Times, 28 October 2019, <<https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/services/retail/flipkart-logs-loss-of-rs-3837-crore-in-2018-19/articleshow/71796158.cms>>
5. Wang, Echo, "China's Kunlun Tech agrees to U.S. demand to sell Grindr Gay dating app", Reuters, 14 May 2019, <<https://in.reuters.com/article/grindr-m-a-beijingkunlun/chinas-kunlun-tech-agrees-to-u-s-demand-to-sell-grindr-gay-dating-app-idINKCN1SJ297>>
6. CBS News, "China's CCTV threatens to pull NBA broadcast ties as commissioner defends free speech", CBS News, 8 October 2019, <<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/daryl-morey-china-tweet-economic-impact-nba-adam-silver-cctv-cancels-preseason-games-today-2019-10-08/>>
7. Hern, Alex, "Revealed: How TikTok censors videos that do not please Beijing", The Guardian, 25 September 2019,
8. Medici, "Executive Summary – India fintech Report 2019, Medici, 2019, <https://mediciinnercircle.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/FintegrateReport_ExecutiveSummary_Final.pdf>
9. Ericsson, "Data usages per smartphone is the highest in India", Ericsson Mobility Report, June 2019, <<https://www.ericsson.com/en/press-releases/2/2019/6/data-usage-per-smartphone-is-the-highest-in-india-ericsson>>
10. Counterpoint, "India Smartphone Market Share: By Quarter", Counterpoint, 29 August 2019, <<https://www.counterpointresearch.com/india-smartphone-share/>>
11. Sydow, Lexi, "The State of Mobile in 2019 – The Most Important Trends to Know", 16 January 2019, <<https://www.appannie.com/en/insights/market-data/the-state-of-mobile-2019/>>
12. Sydow, Lexi, "The State of Mobile in 2019 – The Most Important Trends to Know", 16 January 2019, <<https://www.appannie.com/en/insights/market-data/the-state-of-mobile-2019/>>

13. Sydow, Lexi, "The State of Mobile in 2019 – The Most Important Trends to Know", 16 January 2019, <<https://www.appannie.com/en/insights/market-data/the-state-of-mobile-2019/>>
14. Sun, Leo, "Alibaba is expanding to its E-Commerce Platform into these 4 Markets", NASDAQ, 1 September 2018, <<https://www.nasdaq.com/articles/alibaba-expanding-its-e-commerce-platform-these-4-markets-2018-09-01>>
15. GlobalStats, "Browser Market Share India", StatCounter, October 2019, <<https://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share/all/india>>
16. GlobalStats, "Browser Market Share India", StatCounter, October 2019, <<https://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share/all/india>>
17. GlobalStats, "Browser Market Share India", StatCounter, October 2019, <<https://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share/all/india>>
18. Mandavia, Megha, "UC Browser pivots to short-form content", Economic Times, 3 June 2019, <<https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/tech/internet/uc-browser-pivots-to-short-form-content/articleshow/69626256.cms>>
19. FDI India, Foreign Investment Facilitators, "FDI in Media Sectors", FDI India, Foreign Investment Facilitators, <<https://www.fdi.finance/sectors/media>>
20. Alibaba Group, "Alibaba Group and Ant Financial Enter into Strategic Investment in India's Paytm", Alibaba Group, 29 September 2015, https://www.alibabagroup.com/en/news/press_pdf/p150929.pdf
21. <https://www.hungama.org/>
22. Arrka, Managing Information Risks, "State of Data Privacy of Mobile Apps from India Summary Report: 2017", Arrka, 15 December 2017, <<https://www.arrka.com/state-of-data-privacy-of-mobile-apps-from-india-summary-report/>>
23. Jalan, Trisha, "MeitY issues notice to TikTok and Helo, threatens with ban if questions not answered by 22 July", 18 July 2019, <<https://www.medianama.com/2019/07/223-meity-issues-notice-to-tiktok-and-helo-threatens-with-ban-if-questions-not-answered-by-july-22/>>
24. Financial Express, "Government reportedly lists 42 Chinese apps as dangerous, including Truecaller, UC Browser, Mi Store: Check if your phone has any of them", Financial Express, 1 December 2017, <<https://www.financialexpress.com/industry/technology/government-reportedly-lists-42-chinese-apps-as-dangerous-including-truecaller-uc-browser-mi-store-check-if-your-phone-has-any-of-them/954335/>>
25. GlobalStats, "Browser Market Share India", StatCounter, October 2019, <<https://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share/all/india>>

26. Shi, Xiaoye, "Analysis of ByteDance with a close look on Duoyin/TikTok", ETHzurich, June 2019, <https://ethz.ch/content/dam/ethz/special-interest/mtec/chair-of-entrepreneurial-risks-dam/documents/dissertation/master%20thesis/MasterThesis_Shi.pdf>
27. Sydow, Lexi, "The State of Mobile in 2019 – The Most Important Trends to Know", 16 January 2019, <<https://www.appannie.com/en/insights/market-data/the-state-of-mobile-2019/>>
28. Palo Alto, "Indian smartphone shipments up 10% to 137 millions in 2018, unfazed by global decline", Canalys, 7 February 2019, https://www.canalys.com/static/press_release/2019/pr20190207-india-smartphone-shipments.pdf; Chinese smartphone shipments to India in 2018; from Xiaomi accounts for 41 million units (29.9%), Vivo accounts for 14.4 million units (10.5%) and Oppo (excluding Realme) accounts for 11.2 million units (8.2%). These top 3 Chinese smartphone users together account for 48.54% of smartphone shipments to India in 2018.
29. European Commission, "EU foreign investment screening regulation enters into force", European Union, 10 April 2019, <http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/press/index.cfm?id=2008>
30. U.S. Department of the Treasury, "The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS)", United States Government, <<https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/international/the-committee-on-foreign-investment-in-the-united-states-cfius>>
31. Le Corre, Philip, "On China's Expanding Influence in Europe And Eurasia", Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 9 May 2019, <<https://carnegieendowment.org/2019/05/09/on-china-s-expanding-influence-in-europe-and-eurasia-pub-79094>>
32. Office of the Australian Information Commissioner, "Read the Australian Privacy Policy", Australian Government, 31 July 2019, <<https://www.oaic.gov.au/privacy/australian-privacy-principles/read-the-australian-privacy-principles/>>
33. "Report of the Group of Experts on Privacy", Planning Commission, Government of India, 16 October 2012, <http://planningcommission.nic.in/reports/genrep/rep_privacy.pdf>, The only concern here is applying too wide an exception to accountability. When the Australian entity reasonably believes that the data protection regime in the foreign country in question is substantially similar or better and an individual has access to overseas enforcement mechanisms, it is not held accountable for the data handler's actions. This can be used in bundled consent to disclaim liability in a wide number of situations.

About the Authors

Amit Bhandari, Fellow, Energy & Environment Studies Programme, Gateway House

Amit has worked in the business media and financial markets for over a decade. He started his career with the Economic Times, where he tracked the energy sector. He was a part of the start-up team of ET Now, the business news channel. Amit was responsible for setting up India Reality Research, a new research outfit within CLSA India, a stockbroking firm. He has also worked with the Deccan Chronicle group as the business editor for its general dailies. He holds a Master's in Business Administration degree from IIM-Ahmedabad and a Bachelor's degree in Technology from IT-BHU.

Blaise Fernandes, Board Member, Gateway House and President & CEO, The Indian Music Industry

Blaise Fernandes, President and CEO of the Indian Music Industry (IMI), has spent over three decades of his career in the media and entertainment sector. The trust he is now associated with looks after the business interests of the recorded music industry. He is on the IPR Committee of the Federation of Indian Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FICCI) and Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) and CII's Monitoring and Evaluation Committee. He was CEO of Gateway House: Indian Council on Foreign Relations for four years, and prior to that Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs, at Edelweiss Finance.

Aashna Agarwal, Former Researcher, Gateway House

Aashna Agarwal was a researcher; Manager, Website and Publications at Gateway House. She has an M.Sc degree in International Political Economy from the London School of Economics, prior to which she graduated from St. Xavier's College, Mumbai, completing her B.A., with a major in Economics. She has previously interned with KPMG and the International Center for Research on Women and Global Relations Forum. She has an interest in macroeconomics, data analysis and Chinese foreign policy.

